

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22.09.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

W.P.No.18942 of 2004

D.Revathi

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Special Commissioner of
Land Administration, Chepauk,
Chennai-600 005.

2. The Collector of Chennai
Chennai-600 001.

3. The Tahsildar,
Mambalam - Guindy Taluk,
Chennai-600 078.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent herein to consider the petitioner's review petition, which was filed against the proceedings of the second respondent dated 25.06.1999 with the condoning of the delay of 3 1/2 years and the same may be disposed off on merit.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For Respondent : Mr.Akhil Akbar Ali
Government Advocate

ORDER

When the writ petition was taken up for hearing on 21.09.2017, there was no representation for the petitioner. Hence, the Writ petition was directed to be listed 'for dismissal' on 22.09.2017. Today (22.09.2017), when the writ petition is taken up for hearing, there is no representation for

the petitioner, inspite of the matter being listed in the said caption and the name of the learned counsel for the petitioner having been called twice. Hence, this Writ Petition is dismissed for default. No costs.

s/d-
Assistant Registrar (CS VI)

True Copy


Sub-Assistant Registrar

mm

To

1. The Special Commissioner of
Land Administration, Chepauk,
Chennai-600 005.
2. The Collector of Chennai
Chennai-600 001.
3. The Tahsildar,
Mambalam - Guindy Taluk,
Chennai-600 078.

+1 CC to Govt. Pleader sr 70629.



W.P.No.18942 of 2004

SR (CO)
sp (25/10/2017)

WEB COPY