

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.08.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.P.No.17120 of 2004

S.Tharasundari ... Petitioner

Vs.

The Transport Commissioner,
Chepauk, Chennai – 5. ... Respondent

Prayer:

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records of the respondent relating to the order made in No.20178/V.1/2002 dated 26.5.2004 and quash the same and direct the respondent to reinstate the petitioner with all back wages, promotion, increments as well as other attendant benefits.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Vasanth
for M/s.D.Peter Francis

For Respondent : Mr.M.Elumalai
Government Advocate

ORDER

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the relief sought for in this writ petition has become infructuous and hence

M.DHANDAPANI,J.
pri

the writ petition may be dismissed as infructuous. He has also made an endorsement to that effect in the petition.

2.Recording the endorsement made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

23.08.2017

pri

Speaking Order/ Non Speaking Order

Index: Yes/ No
Internet: Yes/ No

To

1.The Transport Commissioner,
Chepauk, Chennai – 5. सत्यमेव जयते

W.P.No.17120 of 2004

WEB COPY