

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED : 05.09.2017
CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.P.No.36673 of 2004

S.Jeevagan

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Special Commissioner and Commissioner
for Revenue Administration,
Chepauk, Chennai-600 005.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Nagapattinam.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Mayiladuthurai.

... Respondents

Prayer:

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records in impugned proceedings in Na.Ka.No.5(2)1578/2004-1 dated 17.02.2004 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same and direct the respondents to pay arrears of increment to the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sadasharam

For Respondents : Mr.M.Elumalai
Government Advocate

O R D E R

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the relief sought for in this writ petition has become infructuous and hence, the writ petition may be dismissed as infructuous. He has also made an endorsement to that effect in the petition.

WEB COPY

Recording the endorsement made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS II)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

gv

To

- 1.The Special Commissioner and Commissioner
for Revenue Administration,
Chepauk, Chennai-600 005.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Nagapattinam.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Mayiladuthurai.

+1 cc to the Government Pleader High Court
Madras sr 65446

W.P.No.36673 of 2004

ppa(co)
aa05/10/2017

WEB COPY