

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.09.2017

C O R A M

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

Writ Petition No.35998 OF 2004

G.Ramayee

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Principal Commissioner and
Commissioner for Revenue Administration,
Ezhilagam, Chepauk, Chennai-5.

2. The District Collector,
Salem.

.... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records on the file of the 2nd respondent in connection with the orders passed by him in Roc.103362/97 (A4) dated 9.1.2003 and Roc.76094/2004/(A4) dated 29.10.2004 and quash the same and direct the respondents to reinstate the petitioner with all monetary and service benefits.

For petitioner : Mr.V.S.Jagadeesan

For respondents : Mrs.K.Bhuvaneswari,
Government Advocate

O R D E R

Learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the prayer in the writ petition has already become infructuous and he has also made an endorsement to that effect.

2. Recording the submission and endorsement made by the learned Counsel for the petitioner, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS II)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

tsi

To

1. The Principal Commissioner and
Commissioner for Revenue Administration,
Ezhilagam, Chepauk, Chennai-5.
2. The District Collector,
Salem.

+1cc to Mr.Srividhya, Advocate, S.R.No.67843
+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.68365

W.P.No.35998 of 2004

MG (CO)
CA(12/10/2017)



WEB COPY