

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 15.09.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.P.No.35639 of 2004

K.S.Durairaj

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Commissioner of Civil Supplies
and Consumer Protection,
Chepauk, Chennai - 5.

2.The District Supply Officer,
Tiruchy.

... Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records of the 2nd respondent made in Mu.Mu.S.1-50558-2004, dated 13.08.2004 and quash the same and consequential direction, directing the respondents to issue fresh Registration Certificate as per Rule 5 of Tamil Nadu Kerosene (Regulation of Trade) Order 1973.

For Petitioner : Mr.Peter Francis

For Respondents : Mrs.K.Bhuvaneswari,
Govt.Advocate

O R D E R

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the relief sought for in this writ petition has become infructuous and hence the writ petition may be dismissed as infructuous. He has also made an endorsement to that effect in the petition.

2.Recording the endorsement made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

nvi

To


1.The Commissioner of Civil Supplies
and Consumer Protection,
Chepauk, Chennai - 5.

2.The District Supply Officer,
Tiruchy.

+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.68185

W.P.No.35639 of 2004

GN(20/10/2017)



WEB COPY