

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19.07.2017

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

W.P.No.14023 of 2004

T.Adimoolam

... Petitioner

vs.

1. The Registrar,
Disciplinary Committee,
Bar Council of Tamil Nadu,
Chennai - 600 104.

2. Viswanathan

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Certiorarified Mandamus to call upon the production of the records relating to the order dated 13.09.2003 made in DCC.No.20 of 2002 passed by the 1st respondent, quash the same and direct the 1st respondent to punish the 2nd respondent for professional misconduct.

For Petitioner : M/s.R.Muruga Bharathi

For R 1 : Mr.K.Venkata Krishnan

For R 2 : Mr.V.K.Vijayaraghavan

O R D E R

The writ petition has been filed for issuance of a Certiorarified Mandamus to call upon the production of the records relating to the order dated 13.09.2003 made in DCC.No.20 of 2002 passed by the 1st respondent, quash the same and direct the 1st respondent to punish the 2nd respondent for professional misconduct.

2.Heard both sides.

3.It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is no more. There is a complaint given by the petitioner against the second respondent, which was dismissed by the Bar Council of Tamilnadu and against the said decision, the petitioner is before this Court. Hence, this

Court finds that nothing survives for consideration in the present writ petition.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-
Asst.Registrar (CO)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

dn


To

The Registrar,
Disciplinary Committee,
Bar Council of Tamil Nadu,
Chennai - 600 104.

+1cc to M/S.K.Venkatakrishnan, Advocate Sr. 51086 (28/08/2017)

Order in
W.P.No.14023 of 2004

PVS (CO)
VR(10/08/2017)



WEB COPY