

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.07.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.P.No.12512 and 12513 of 2004

S.Balasubramanian ... Petitioner in W.P.No.12512 of 2004
S.Manikandan ... Petitioner in W.P.No.12513 of 2004

Vs.

The Joint Commissioner &
Executive Officer,
Arulmighu Meenakshi
Sundareswarar Temple,
Madurai. ... Respondent in both petitions

Prayer in W.P.No.12512 and 12513 of 2004:

Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the order of the respondent dated 29.3.2004 issued in Na.Ka.3755/2003/A3 and quash the same and direct the respondent to reinstate the petitioner in the respondent temple and to absorb him as a regular employee with all consequential benefits.

For Petitioner : M/s. Muthukannu
in both petitions

C O M M O N O R D E R

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in both writ petitions submits that the relief sought for in this writ petition has become infructuous and hence both the writ petitions may be dismissed as infructuous. He has also made an endorsement to that effect in the bundle.

2. Recording the endorsement made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, these two writ petitions are dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-
Asst.Registrar (CS III)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

To

The Joint Commissioner &
Executive Officer,
Arulmighu Meenakshi
Sundareswarar Temple,
Madurai.

+2cc to M/s.P.Gopalan, Advocate in sr.no.51843,51844

W.P.No.12512 and 12513 of 2004

MV (CO)
NR 18/08/2017



WEB COPY