

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 02.02.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.RAJENDRAN

W.P. No.32239 of 2016

Duraisamy Nainar

...Petitioner

Versus

1. The Tahsildar,
Tindivanam Taluk,
Tindivanam.

2. The District Collector,
Villupuram District,
Villupuram.

3. K.Hemalatha

...Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the first respondent, Tahsildar, Tindivanam to conduct any inquiry based on the representation dated 29.03.2016 given by the petitioner and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

For Petitioner : Ms.M.Kalyani

For R1 to R3 : Mr.R.S.Selvam
Government Advocate.

O R D E R

Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner has produced a copy of the order of the 1st respondent dated 22.10.2016 stating that the petitioner's representation dated 29.03.2016 has already been considered and orders have been passed.

In view of the same, this writ petition is disposed of. If the petitioner is still aggrieved, he is at liberty to challenge the same in accordance with law.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

kkd

To

1. The Tahsildar,
Tindivanam Taluk,
Tindivanam.
2. The District Collector,
Villupuram District,
Villupuram.

+1cc to Mr.Kalyani, Advocate, S.R.No.6571
+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.6936

AK(CO)
RS(17/02/2017)

W.P. No.32239 of 2016



WEB COPY