

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED : 30.08.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

CrI.O.P.No.24440 of 2016

and

CrI.M.P.Nos.11675 & 11676 of 2016 & 6780 of 2017

1. S. Shyamsundar @ Parthasarathy
 2. S. Sudagar
 3. T. Brinda
- ..Petitioners

Vs

K.S.Anushree @ Sundaravalli
..Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to call for the records in D.V.C.No.168/2016 on the file of Learned Additional Mahila Court, Allikulam, Chennai -3 and quash the same in so far as this petitioner is concerned.

For Petitioners
For Respondent

: Mr.R. Meenakshi
: Mr.A.Loba Mudra

ORDER

The prayer sought for in the present petition is to call for the records in D.V.C.No.168/2016 on the file of Learned Additional Mahila Court, Allikulam, Chennai -3 and quash the same.

2. Pending the proceedings in this Criminal Original Petition, the respondent as well as the petitioners have amicably resolved the dispute among themselves. The terms of the settlement has been determined under the Joint Memo of Compromise dated 30.08.2017.

3. The defacto complainant as well as the petitioners are personally present before this Court and are identified.

4. The defacto complainant has also filed an affidavit dated 30.08.2017 stating that she has no objection if the proceedings under the Domestic Violence Act case in D.V.C.No.168 of 2016 on the file of the learned Additional Mahila Court, Allikulam, Chennai -3, is quashed.


5. Recording the submissions made in the affidavit as well as in the terms and conditions recorded in the Joint Memo of Compromise dated 30.08.2017, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

Consequently, the proceedings in D.V.C.No.168/2016 on the file of Learned Additional Mahila Court, Allikulam, Chennai -3 is quashed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

6. It is made clear that both parties shall adhere to the terms arrived at between themselves in the joint Compromise Memo. In case, there is any default in the payments to be made to the defacto complainant, she would be at liberty to proceed against the petitioners by way of a fresh case under the Domestic Violence Act.

30.08.2017


Index:Yes
nl/mrp



WEB COPY

M.S.RAMESH.J,

nl/mrp



Crl.O.P.No.24440 of 2016

WEB COPY

30.08.2017