

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED :22.11.2017
CORAM
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM
W.P.No.276 of 2010

All India Indian Bank SC/ST
Employees Welfare Association,
(Regn.S.No.37 of 1982),
Rep.by its General Secretary,
231, Thambu Street,
(Opp.Muthialpet Hr.Sec.School,
Chennai - 600 001.

..Petitioner

vs

1.Indian Bank,
Rep.by its Chairman and Managing Director,
Head office, Rajaji Salai,
Chennai - 600 001.

2.The General Manager (H&M),
Indian Bank, Head office,
Rajaji Salai, Chennai - 600 001.

3.The General Manager and Chief Liaison Officer,
Indian Bank, Head office,
Rajaji Salai, Chennai - 600 001.

4.Union of India,
Rep.by its Joint Secretary,
Ministry of Personnel,
Public grievances and Pensions,
Department of Personnel and Training,
New Delhi.

5.Union of India,
Rep.by its Additional Secretary,
Ministry of Finance,
Department of Economic Affairs,
Banking Division,
Jeevandeep Building,
Parliamentary Street,
New Delhi.

6.National Commission for SC/ST,
Rep.by its Chairman,
Lok Nayak Bhavan,
New Delhi.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, forbearing the respondents 1 to 3 or their sub-ordinates/agents from initiating or proceedings with any promotion process and promoting officers for the posts of Scale II,III,IV,V,VI,VII Officers in the 1st respondent Bank without first providing for reservations of 15% and 7 ½ % of the said posts to the employees belonging to Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes respectively and acting on that as per Article 16(4-A) of the Constitution of India read with the office memorandum of the Government of India dt.13.08.1997 and the factual position that there is inadequate representation in those posts.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Saravana Kumar
For Respondents : Mr.V.Perumal for R1 to R3
Mr.G.Venkatesan
Central Government Standing Counsel
(CGSC) for R4 & R5
No Appearance for R6

O R D E R

The learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner made a submission that after filing of the writ petition, the rules in relation to the recruitment and promotion have underwent changes and at present, the respondents are bound to follow the rules in force for affecting appointment/promotion. In view of the changed circumstances in the subject, no further adjudication needs to be undertaken and if any grievances, it is left open to the writ petitioner in respect of the current rules, they are at liberty to approach the appropriate authorities to redress their grievances.

2.With these observations, the writ petition stands closed. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CCC)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

kak

+1 cc to Mr.S.Saravanakumar Advocate sr 83612

W.P.No.276 of 2010

aa06/12/2017



WEB COPY