IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.10.2017

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAVINDRAN
S.A. Nos.705 & 706 of 2001

Govindasamy @ Karutha Pillai ..Appellant in both Second Appeals/
Defendant in os.93/97 and
plaintiff in os.244/96

Vs.

Kaliaperumal ..Respondent in both Second Appeals/
Plaintiff in os.93/97
and Defendant in os.244/96

Common Prayer:- Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of C.P.C., against the judgment and decree dated 27.11.2000 on the file of the Additional Sub Court, Mayiladuthurai in A.S.Nos.57 & 56/99 respectively confirming the judgment and decree dated 22.06.1999 on the file of the District Munsif Court, Sirkali in O.S.No.93/97 & 244/96, respectively.

For Appellant in both SA : Mr.S. Sounthar

For Respondent in both SA : Mr.A.Muthukumar

*****

C O M M O N J U D G M E N T

Both counsel present. It is found from the case papers that though it has been represented on 25.03.2010 that the sole respondent had died, it is seen that the appellant had not taken any steps to bring the legal representatives of the deceased respondent on record. In such view of the matter, it is found that the appellant is not ready to proceed with the matter as per law.

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

2. Hence, in the light of the above, the second appeals are dismissed as abated for not taking any steps to bring the legal heirs of the deceased sole respondent. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS-III)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

sli

To
1. The Additional Sub Judge,
Mayiladuthurai.

2. The District Munsif,
Sirkali.

Copy to

The Section officer, V.R. Section,
high court, madras.

S.A. Nos.705 & 706 of 2001

RJ (CO)
TR(21/11/2017)

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/