

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06.11.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.PARTHIBAN

W.P.No.16192 of 2007

F.Stephen

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Conservator of Forests (Research)
Chennai 600 006.

2. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests,
Panagal Buildings,
Chennai 600 015. ...Respondents

Prayer: The writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records of the first respondent relating to the order in Pro.No.1/2007 (C.No.6776/2005/E2) dated 02.03.2007, quash the same and issue consequential directions to reinstate the petitioner in service with consequential benefits.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Ravi

For Respondent : Mr.M.Santhanaraman
AGP (Forests)

O R D E R

The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the relief sought for in the writ petition has become infructuous as nothing survives. He has also made an endorsement to that effect.

2.Recording the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition stands dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Kas

/sd/-

Assistant Registrar

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Conservator of Forests (Research)
Chennai 600 006.
2. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests,
Panagal Buildings,
Chennai 600 015.

+1 CC to Mr.Ravi, Advocate Sr.No. 78807

+1 CC to Specila Government Pleader (Forest) Sr.No. 78452

W.P.No.16192 of 2007

(CO)
MLT 27/11/2017



WEB COPY