

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 15.11.2017

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

W.P.No.21623 of 2003

V.K.R.Sekar

... Petitioner

vs.

The Commissioner,
Madurai Corporation,
Madurai.

... Respondent

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ of certiorari to call for the records of the respondent relating to the impugned order, dated 28.07.2003 in Ref.No.Ma.Va.8/02263/01, insofar as the petitioner is concerned and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Srinivasan

For Respondent : Mr.T.Shanmugam

O R D E R

Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the relief sought in this Writ Petition has become infructuous and he has also made an endorsement in the court bundle to that effect.

2. Recording the said submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Writ Petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected W.P.M.P.No.26808 of 2003 is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(J)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

aeb

To:

The Commissioner,
Madurai Corporation,
Madurai.

+1 cc to Mr.T.Shanmugam Advocate sr 81349

W.P.No.21623 of 2003

aa12/12/2017



WEB COPY