

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17.11.2017

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.No.17113 of 2010

K.Jayaraman

... Petitioner

versus

1. The State of Tamilnadu
Rep. by its Secretary to Government,
Municipal Administration and
Water Supply Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai - 9.
2. The Commissioner of Municipal Administration,
Chepauk,
Chennai - 5.
3. The Commissioner,
Madurai Corporation,
Madurai.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of The Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to consider the claims of the petitioner and issue instruction to the 3rd respondent to release the petitioner's terminal benefits immediately with interest based on the representation of the petitioner dated 11.09.2009 as per the rule within the stipulated time.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Arumugam

For Respondents 1 & 2 : Mr.M.Perumal
Govt. Advocate.

For Respondent 3 : Mr.P.Srinivas

O R D E R

The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the prayer in the Writ Petition has already become infructuous and he has also made an endorsement to that effect.

2. Recording the same this Writ Petition stands dismissed as infructuous. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CO)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

rsi

To

1. The Secretary to Government,
Municipal Administration and
Water Supply Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai - 9.
2. The Commissioner of Municipal Administration,
Chepauk,
Chennai - 5.
3. The Commissioner,
Madurai Corporation,
Madurai.

WEB COPY

W.P.No.17113 of 2010

DK(CO)

RRK(06/12/2017)