

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 24.04.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.NAGAMUTHU
and
THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE ANITA SUMANTH

H.C.P No.2595 of 2016

Azhagumani,
W/o. Pandi Thevar,
Muthalankovil Street,
Visaiyankulam, Chinnamanur,
Theni District.Petitioner

Vs

1. State of Tamil Nadu
rep by The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Chennai - 600 009
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai Police.Respondents

Habeas Corpus Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ, order or Direction in the nature of a Writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records in connection with the order of Detention passed by the second respondent 09.08.2016 in Memo No.859/BCDFGISSSV/2016 against the petitioner's husband Eswaran @ Mutheeswaan, S/o. Pandi, aged about 43 years, who is confined at Central Prison, Puzhal II, Chennai and set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu before the Hon'ble Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr. S. Senthilvel
For respondents : Mr.V.M.R. Rajentren
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by S. NAGAMUTHU,J.,)

The petitioner, who is the wife of the detenu Eswaran @ Mutheeswaan, S/o. Pandi, aged about 43 years has come up with

this Habeas Corpus Petition, challenging the detention order passed against Mr. Eswaran @ Mutheeswaan, by the second respondent, vide proceedings Memo No.859/BCDFGISSSV/2016, dated 09.08.2016.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State and we have also perused the records carefully.

3. Though, several grounds were raised in the petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus on the ground that though bail application was filed in CrI M.P.No.1946/2016 before the Principal District and Sessions Judge, Chengalapattu in respect of Crime No.1532/2016 on the file of S-13 Chromepet Police Station and the same is pending, there was no further bail application pending as on the date of passing of the detention order by the second respondent in Crime Nos.1526/2016 and 1894/2016 and the detaining authority has stated that the relatives of the detenu were taking steps to file bail application, in which case there was real possibility of the detenu coming out on bail. The learned counsel pointed out that to arrive at such a conclusion, there was no material placed before the detaining authority at all.

4. The learned Additional Public prosecutor would submit that in the Special report submitted by the Inspector of Police, there is a statement to the effect that the relatives of the detenu were taking steps to file bail application seeking bail in connection with the case in Crime Nos.1526/2016 and 1894/2016.

5. We have considered the above submissions. Admittedly, as on the date of passing of the detention order, there was no application filed by the detenu seeking bail in Crime No.1526/2016 on the file of S-13 Chromepet Police Station and Crime No.1894/2016 on the file of S-11 Tambaram Police Station. Though it is alleged that his relatives were taking steps to file an application for bail, there were no materials available before the detaining authority, except the report of the Inspector of Police. Even the report of the Inspector of Police does not spell out as to how he came to know that the relatives were taking steps to file application seeking bail. Full details as to who are those relatives, who were taking steps to file bail application also have not been mentioned. Thus, in our considered view, without making proper application of mind relating to these facts, the detaining authority has passed the detention order. Therefore, we are inclined to set aside the same.

6. Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the

impugned detention order, dated 09.08.2016, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu is directed to be released forthwith unless his presence is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

jbm/rkp

To

1. The Secretary to the Government,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariate, Chennai - 600 009
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai Police.
3. The superintendent central Prison,
Puzhal, Chennai.
4. The Joint Secretary to Government
Public (Law & order)
Fort St.George, Chennai-9.
5. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Chennai.

H.C.P.No.2595 of 2016

ssi(co)
rmp(11/05/17)

WEB COPY