

In the High Court of Judicature at Madras

Dated : 27.7.2017

Coram :

The Honourable Mr.Justice NOOTY.RAMAMOHANA RAO

and

The Honourable Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

Writ Petition Nos.26759 to 26761 of 2016 & all connected
pending WMPs

V.Tamilalagan

...Petitioner in
WP.26759/2016

R.Sakthivel

...Petitioner in
WP.26760/2016

A.Neelamegam

...Petitioner in
WP.26761/2016

Vs

1.The Registrar General, High Court,
Madras, Chennai-104.

2.The Principal District Judge,
Salem.

...R1 & R2 in all
the WPs

3.A.Nazrin Banu

4.S.Bharani

5.Mohan Mangalraj

...R3 to R5 in
WP.26759/2016

6.P.Raja

7.D.Govindaraj

8.Velmurugan

9.Gulab John

10.Tmt.Suganthi

...R3 to R7 in
WP.26760/2016

PETITIONS under Article 226 of The Constitution of India
praying for the issuance of Writs of Certiorarified Mandamus

(i) to call for the records of the second Respondent
relating to orders in Roc.No.13117/A/2016 dated 29.3.2016, quash
the same and issue consequential directions to the second
Respondent to consider the claim of the petitioner for

retransfer from Attur to Salem in the light of the petitioner's representation dated 20.7.2015 and in the light of policy of the Government of Tamilnadu with regard to transfer of physically handicapped employees (WP.No.26759 of 2016);

(ii) call for the records of the second Respondent relating to order in Roc.No.14850/A/2016 dated 29.3.2016, quash the same and issue consequential directions to the 2nd Respondent to consider the claim of the petitioner for retransfer to Salem (WP.No.26760 of 2016); and

(iii) call for the records of the second respondent relating to order in Pro.Roc.No.15009/A/2016 dated 29.3.2016, quash the same and issue consequential directions to the 2nd Respondent to consider the claim of the petitioner for retransfer to Salem (WP.No.26761 of 2016).

For Petitioners in all the WPs : Sri.M.Ravi

For Respondents 1 & 2
in all the WPs : Sri.P.K.Rajagopal

For Respondents 3 to 5 in
WP.No.26759 of 2016 &
For Respondent-5 in
WP.No.26760 of 2016 : Sri.K.Nagendraprasad
For Respondents 3, 4, 6 & 7 in
WP.No.26760 of 2016 : Sri.R.Neelakandan

COMMON ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by NOOTY.RAMAMOohana RAO,J)

It looks as though the learned counsel for the writ petitioners could somehow make the writ petitioners see good reason in not pursuing these writ petitions and insisted withdrawing the same with liberty to pursue for softer options rather than receiving a judgment on merits in these matters.

2. Accordingly, these writ petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to pursue all available alternative remedies by giving representations to the concerned for reconsideration of the entire issue on merits. No costs. Consequently, all connected pending WMPs are also dismissed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Registrar General,
High Court, Madras, Chennai-104.

2.The Principal District Judge,
Salem.


Copy to

The Assistant Registrar,
Legal cell, High Court,
Madras.

+3cc to Mr.M.Ravi, Advocate SR.No.53905
+1cc to Mr.R.Neelakandan, Advocate SR.No.54031,54030,54032

WP.Nos.26759 to 26761
of 2016 & all connected
pending WMPs

SKS (CO)
GN(17/08/2017)



WEB COPY