

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 11.01.2017

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN
and
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE T.MATHIVANAN

H.C.P.No.1571 of 2016

Manikandan .. Petitioner

Vs

- 1.The Government of Tamil Nadu,
rep by the Secretary,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and
District Magistrate,
Coimbatore.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Negamam Police Station,
Coimbatore District. .. Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, calling for the records, relating to the order passed by the second respondent, in Cr.M.P.No.13/G/2016/E1, dated 7.6.2016 and to quash the same and to further direct the respondents to produce the body of the detenu, namely, Manikandan, aged 30 years, son of Subramani @ Vinsent Babu, now confined at the Central Prison, Coimbatore, before this court and to set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Saravanan

For Respondents: Mr.V.M.R.Rajentran, APP

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.JAICHANDREN,J]

This Habeas Corpus Petition has been filed by the detenu, namely, Manikandan, aged about 30 years, son of Subramani @ Vincentbabu, to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the records, in Cr.M.P.No.13/G/2016/E1, dated 7.6.2016, passed by the second respondent, detaining the detenu, under

Section 3(1) of the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982), branding him as a "Goonda", in the Central Prison, Coimbatore, and to quash the same and to direct the Respondents to produce the body of the detenu and to set him at liberty forthwith.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State and we have also perused the records, carefully.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in this Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, has assailed the impugned detention order mainly on the ground that the detaining authority had stated, in paragraph No.5 of the order of detention, that the detenu, Manikandan, is in judicial remand, in respect of Negamam Police Station Crime Nos.138/2016, 121/2016 and 122/2016, which are the ground case and the first and the second adverse cases, respectively. It had been further stated in the grounds of detention that the detenu had not filed any bail application, for those cases. However, the detaining authority had stated in the order of detention that the relatives of the detenu are taking steps to take him out on bail, in Negamam Police Station Crime Nos.138/2016, 121/2016 and 122/2016, by filing bail applications before the appropriate Court. It had also been pointed out by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that no statements had been recorded from the relatives of the detenu with regard to the claim that they are taking steps to move bail applications, on behalf of the detenu, in the above said cases and no such statements had been furnished to the detenu.

4. The said submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner had not been refuted by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondents.

5. It is noted from the records available that no statements had been recorded from the relatives concerned to substantiate the claim that they are taking steps to move bail applications on behalf of the detenu, to take him out on bail, in Crime Nos.138/2016, 121/2016 and 122/2016, on the file of Negamam Police Station, which are the ground case and the first and the second adverse cases, respectively. In such circumstances, we find that there is non application of mind on the part of the detaining authority, in passing the detention order. Therefore, we are inclined to set aside the detention order.

6. Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the impugned detention order, dated 7.6.2016, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu is directed to be released, forthwith, unless his presence is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-
Asst.Registrar (CS IV)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

To

- 1.The Secretary to Government,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and
District Magistrate,
Coimbatore.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Negamam Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
- 4.The Superintendent, Central Prison, Coimbatore.
- 5.The Joint Secretary to Govt.,
(PUBlic (L&o) Fort St.George, Chennai.
- 6.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1 cc to Mr.S.Saravanan,advocate,sr.2860.

rsy(co)
krd 13/2

सत्यमेव जयते H.C.P.No.1571 of 2016

WEB COPY