

In the High Court of Judicature at Madras

Dated : 10.02.2017

Coram :

The Hon'ble Mr.Sanjay Kishan Kaul, Chief Justice

O.P.No.955 of 2016

K.M.Connectivity,
rep. by T.Manikandan,
Sole Proprietor,
No.3/24, Indian Bank Colony 1st Street,
Narayanapuram,
Madurai-625 014.

.. Petitioner

-VS-

M/s.Rliance Communications Limited,
No.6, Haddows Road,
Nungambakkam,
Chennai-600 006.

.. Respondent

Petition filed under Section 11 (6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, to constitute an arbitral tribunal consisting of a sole Arbitrator, seated out of Madurai, to decide all disputes under the Reliance Mobile Store Franchisee agreement dated 22.03.2013.

For Petitioner : Mr.Anirudh Krishnan
for M/s.S.Karthikeibalan

* * * * *

ORDER

The only reason for issuance of notice as apparent from the order dated 06.01.2017 was to see if the dispute could be settled as

set out therein. The respondent has been served, but has not put in appearance and thus, that course of action is not feasible.

2. In view of what is recorded in the order dated 06.1.2017, the original petition is dismissed for lack of territorial jurisdiction, with liberty to file a petition before the competent Court.

(S.K.K., CJ.)
10.02.2017

bbr

The Hon'ble Chief Justice

bbr

O.P.No.955 of 2016

10.02.2017