

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 11.07.2017

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

W.P.No.9942 of 2003

K.P.Thangaraj

... Petitioner

vs.

1. The District Collector,
Karur District at Karur.

2. The Commissioner,
Karur Municipality at
Karur.

3. S.Rathinam

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents 1 and 2 herein to take appropriate proceedings against the third respondent herein for running the Cable Television operations at Karur Town and within the area of operation of the petitioner herein without getting the No Objection Certificate under the provisions of the Tamil Nadu Municipalities Television Cables Installation Regulation Rules, 2000 from the 2nd respondent and without complying with other provisions of law.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Krishna Pradeep
For Respondents 1 & 2 : Mr.Akhil Akbar Ali,
Government Advocate

O R D E R

Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the relief sought in this Writ Petition has become infructuous. He has also made an endorsement in the court bundle to that effect.

2. Recording the said submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Writ Petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected W.P.M.P.No.12599 of 2003 is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS II)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

aeb

To:

1. The District Collector,
Karur District at Karur.
2. The Commissioner,
Karur Municipality, Karur.

+1 cc to Mr.N.Damodaran Advocate sr 48359

+1 cc to the Government pleader sr 48791

W.P.No.9942 of 2003

msm(co
aa25/07/2017

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY