

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21.09.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

W.P.No.10970 of 2004

D.Y.Loganathan

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Tiruvellore.

2. K. Arumugham

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent herein to consider and dispose of my representation dated 19.11.2003 regarding the operation of the Share auto bearing Regn.No.TN-20-K-2826 belonging to the second respondent herein.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For Respondent : Mr.Akhil Akbar Ali
Government Advocate

ORDER

When the writ petition was taken up for hearing on 20.09.2017, there was no representation for the petitioner. Hence, the Writ petition was directed to be listed 'for dismissal' on 21.09.2017. Today (21.09.2017), when the writ petition is taken up for hearing, there is no representation for the petitioner, inspite of the matter being listed in the said caption and the name of the learned counsel for the petitioner having been called twice. Hence, this Writ Petition is dismissed for default. No costs.

Sd/-

Asst.Registrar (CS V)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

To

1. The Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Tiruvellore.

+1cc to Government, Advocate in sr.no.70793

Order in
W.P.No.10970 of 2004

RK (CO)
NR 27/10/2017



WEB COPY