

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14.12.2017

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAVINDRAN

S.A. No.546 of 2002

1.Gunasekaran
2.Rukmani

...Appellants

Vs.

T.P.Rajamanickam

...Respondent

Prayer: Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of C.P.C., against the Judgment and Decree dated 21.11.2001 rendered in A.S.No.90 of 2001 on the file of the Third Additional District Judge, Salem, confirming the Decree and Judgment dated 29.10.1999 rendered in O.S.No.1274 of 1989 on the file of the First Additional District Munsif of Salem.

For Appellant : Ms.D.Sathyasri

For Respondent : No Appearance

J U D G M E N T

No representation for the respondent. The learned counsel for the appellant present and he informs that the second appeal has become infructuous and he has also made an endorsement to that effect.

2. In the light of the above position, the second appeal is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-
Asst.Registrar

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

rna

To


1. The Third Additional District Judge, Salem.
2. The First Additional District Munsif, Salem.

3.The Section Officer,
VR Section,
High Court, Madras.

+lcc to M/s.Raw & Reddy, Advocate sr.no.89563

S.A. No.546 of 2002

gmr (co)
nr 29/01/2018



WEB COPY