

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED:30.11.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.V.MURALIDARAN

C.R.P.No.230 of 2003

S.Sivathangam

.. Petitioner

Vs

M.Rangaraj Jain

.. Respondent

Prayer: Civil Revision Petition under section 25 of the Tamil Nadu Building (Lease and Rent Control Act, against the order dated 12.03.2002 passed in R.C.A.No.508 of 1997, on the file of VIII Judge, Small Causes Court, Chennai against the order of eviction passed in R.C.O.P.No.158 of 1995, dated 29.04.1997 on the file of XV Judge, Small Causes Court, Chennai.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Manickavel

For Respondents : No Appearance

ORDER

It is represented by both the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the suit was already disposed before the trial Court. Therefore, nothing survives in the Civil revision petition. Hence, the prayer in the civil revision petition has become infructuous.

M.V.MURALIDARAN.J.,

ub

2.Recording the said submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as one having become infructuous. No costs.

30.11.2017


ub

Index: Yes/No

Internet: Yes/No

To

- 1.The VIII Judge,
Small Causes Court,
Chennai.
- 2.The XV Judge,
Small Causes Court,
Chennai.



WEB COPY

C.R.P.No.230 of 2003