

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17.07.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE V.M.VELUMANI

C.R.P.(NPD)Nos.2253 & 2594 of 2012
and M.P.Nos.1 & 1 of 2012

C.R.P.(NPD)Nos.2253 of 2012

P.Srinivasan

...

Petitioner

Vs.

1.T.Amuthan Anthony
2.Mrs.Jaya
3.N.Vaalarmurthy
4.Mangammal
5.Mrs.Susila
6.Mrs.Packivathy
7.Mrs.Suguna
8.Indira
9.S.Ramu
10.M.Elumalai
11.K.Govindswamy
12.A.Thirunavukkarasu
13.A.Madurai Muthu
14.E.Mohan
15.D.Doss
16.N.Jayaraman
17.A.Ramanathan
18.Velayudham
19.G.Dayalan
20.G.Mohana Krishnan
21.P.Seethalakshmi
22.G.Nageswaran
23.K.Roopuchandran
24.D.Prabhu
[R2 to R24 not necessary
party and hence given up]

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY

...

Respondents

PRAYER IN CRP NPD No.2253 of 2012 : Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to set aside the order passed by the trial court in I.A.No.48 of 2012 in O.S.No.3274 of 2008 on the file of Addl. District Judge and Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court – V, Chennai.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Loganathan

For Respondents : No appearance for R1
R2 to R24 – given up

C.R.P.(NPD)Nos.2594 of 2012

1.G.Dayalan
2.G.Mohanakrishnan
3.P.Seethalakshmi
4.G.Nageswaran
5.K.Roobachandran

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.T.Amuthan Anthony
2.Mrs.Jaya
3.N.Vaalarmurthy
4.Mangammal
5.Mrs.Susila
6.Mrs.Packivathy
7.Ms.Suguna
8.Ms.Indra
9.S.Ramu
10.M.Elumalai
11.K.Govindsamy
12.A.Thirunavukkarasu
13.A.Madurai Muthu
14.E.Mohan
15.D.Doss
16.N.Jayaraman
17.A.Ramanathan
18.Srinivasan
19.Velayudham
20.D.Prabhu

...

Respondents

PRAYER IN CRP NPD No.2594 of 2012 : Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the fair and decretal order of the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court – V, Chennai dated 16.04.2012 in I.A.No.48 of 2012 in O.S.No.3274 of 2008.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.M.Hariharan

For Respondents : No appearance for
R1 to R11, R15, R17 & R20

Mr.R.Malaichamy for
R12 & R13

Mr.C.Premkumar for
R1 14 & R16

Mr.Loganathan for R18
Batta due for R19.

COMMON ORDER

When the matters were taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that pending the suit, the 1st respondent/plaintiff died and the suit was dismissed as abated.

2. In view of the above circumstances, nothing survives in the Civil Revision Petitions. In the result, both the Civil Revision

V.M.VELUMANI, J.

rgr

Petitions are dismissed as infructuous. However, it is open to the parties to file a fresh petition and proceed further, if the suit is restored on to file. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

17.07.2017

Index : Yes/No
rgr

To

The Addl. District Judge and Sessions Judge,
Fast Track Court – V,
Chennai.

C.R.P.(NPD)Nos.2253 & 2594 of 2012

WEB COPY