

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.03.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.No.9497 of 2004

T. Karuppiah  
...Petitioner

/versus/

1.The Tahsildar,  
Manaparai Taluk,  
Office at Manaparai Town  
Tiruchirapalli District

2. District Collector,  
Office of the Collectorate  
Tiruchirapalli  
...Respondents

Prayer: Writ petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate order or direction so far as the petitioner is concerned directing the respondents 1 and 2 herein to grant patta in the name of the petitioner T. Karuppiah by effecting sub-division 434 to the extent of 2 acres 82 cents in Pirampatti, Thoppupatti Village, Valanadu Mathura Manapparai Taulk, Thiruchirapalli District.

For Petitioner : No Appearance

For Respondents : Mr. A. Zakir Hussain  
Government Advocate

WEB COPY  
O R D E R

The petitioner is a native of Thoppupatti Village, Manaparai Taluk and having an extent of 2 acres 82 cents for more than 40 years. The Writ Petitioner made representations seeking patta for his land and the same was not considered by the respondents. Hence, the writ petition is filed with a prayer to direct the respondents to grant patta in the name of the petitioner.

2. Since the Writ Petition is pending for the past more than 12 years, the learned Counsel for the petitioner is unable to inform this Court as to whether the patta has been granted in favour of the petitioner or any rejection order has been passed to that effect, on the Application filed by the writ petitioner seeking patta.

3. In the typed set of papers filed along with the writ petition, it is seen that the writ petitioner had submitted an application to the second respondent on 09.02.2000, seeking patta.

4. The learned Government Advocate is also unable to find out whether the representations submitted by the writ petitioner on 09.02.2000 and 17.07.2000, were disposed of or not.

5. Under these circumstances, without going into the merits and demerits of the case, this Court is inclined to direct the respondents 1 & 2 to consider the representations submitted by the petitioner on 09.02.2000 and 17.07.2000, and pass order in accordance with the law, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. No Costs.

Sd/-

Asst.Registrar (CS III )

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

lbm/rpa

To

1. The Tahsildar,  
Manaparai Taluk,  
Office at Manaparai Town  
Tiruchirapalli District

2. District Collector,  
Office of the Collectorate  
Tiruchirapalli

+1cc to Government Pleader sr.19547

W.P.W.P.No.9497 of 2004

vgII (CO)  
ss (24/4/2017)