

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.07.2017

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.DURAIWAMY

W.P.No18690 of 2017

&

W.M.P.No.20243 of 2017

G.Sathish Chander

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police
Erode District, Erode 638 001

2.The Inspector of Police
Erode South Police Station
Erode
Erode District.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of mandamus, forbearing the respondents, their men and subordinates from interfering with the petitioner's peaceful conduct of business in the name of Coral Message center at S.F.No. 69/3D1, near Shanmugavalli Nagar, Anaikattu Road, Chinnasamy Building, Erode Town, Erode District, by considering his representation dated 02.07.2017 in the light of the direction issued in Para No. 65 of the Judgment in S. Rangaraj case (2015 (1) CTC 702).

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Manoharan

For Respondents : Mr.R.Rajeswaran
Special Government Pleader

WEB COPY
O R D E R

Mr.R.Rajeswaran, learned Special Government Pleader, takes notice for the respondents.

2. The petitioner has filed the above Writ Petition to issue a writ of mandamus, forbearing the respondents, their men and subordinates from interfering with his peaceful conduct of business in the name of Coral Message Center at S.F.No. 69/3D1, near Shanmugavalli Nagar, Anaikattu Road, Chinnasamy Building, Erode Town, Erode District, by considering his representation dated 02.07.2017 in the light

of the direction issued in Para No. 65 of the Judgment in S. Rangaraj case (2015 (1) CTC 702).

3. According to the petitioner, he is running the business in the name of Coral Message center at Erode. Further, the petitioner has stated that the business is carried on in accordance with law. The petitioner has also stated that the local Inspector of Police and Police men are frequently coming and interfering with the business activities and thereby, causing hardship to the customers coming to the premises for Traditional Ayurveda Herbal Massage. In order to prevent hardship, the petitioner has come forward with the present Writ Petition.

4. This court, in similar circumstances, following the ratio laid down by this court in the judgment report in 2015 (1) MLJ 308 [Masti Health and Beauty Private limited & Others V. The Commissioner of Police, Chennai City], disposed of the writ petition in W.P.No.9380 of 2016, by order dated 14.03.2016, by directing the respondents-police to comply with the directions/conditions imposed in paragraph 67 of the order in the judgment reported in 2015(1) MLJ 308 (cited supra) and further made it clear that the petitioner therein under the guise of carrying on business activity shall not indulge in any unlawful or illegal activities detrimental to law and order or public order.

5. Since the issue involved in the present writ petition is identical to the issue involved in W.P.No.9380 of 2016, it is relevant to extract para 67 of the order passed in 2015(1) MLJ 308 (cited supra), which reads as follows:-

67. In the light of the above, all the writ petitions are disposed of to the following effect :

(i) The respondents shall not, as a matter of routine and without any basis, conduct any raids and interfere with the business carried on by the petitioners;

(ii) In specific cases where the police have reasonable grounds to believe that an offence punishable under the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act has been or is being committed, it is open to the police to take action, after scrupulously following all the steps indicated in Section 15 of the said Act. The steps to be followed are narrated by me in paragraph 28 above; and

(iii) Based upon the laws enacted in various States of the United States of America and Singapore, which I have dealt with in paragraphs 39 to 54, the respondents may take appropriate steps for bringing in either a new

legislation or a subordinate legislation in terms of the provisions of the Chennai City Municipal Corporation Act or the Chennai City Police Act, so that public order, decency and morality, which can form the basis for a regulatory law under Article 19(2) of The Constitution, are taken care of. ..."

6. In the light of the said order, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents-police to comply with the directions imposed in para 67 of the order as extracted above. It is also made clear that the petitioner under the guise of carrying on business activity shall not indulge in any unlawful or illegal activities detrimental to law and order or public order.

With these observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CO)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Rj

To

1. The Superintendent of Police
Erode District, Erode 638 001
2. The Inspector of Police
Erode South Police Station
Erode
Erode District.

+lcc to Mr.N.Manokaran, Advocate, S.R.No.52175
+lcc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.52544

W.P.No18690 of 2017
&
W.M.P.No.20243 of 2017

SVI (CO)
CA(31/07/2017)