

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11.09.2017

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE M.DURAI SWAMY

W.P.No.18375 of 2017

B.Anandaraj

[Petitioner]

Vs

The Regional Transport Officer
Poonamallee Chennai 600 056

[Respondent]

Petition filed under Article 226 of The Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondent to return the original driving license bearing D.L.No. TN20 19990001716 by considering the petitioners representation dated 30.6.2017.

For Petitioner : Ms.C.Meena

For Respondent : Mr.S.Diwakar

Special Govt. Pleader

O R D E R

Mr.S.Diwakar, learned Special Government Pleader, takes notice for the respondent. By consent, the main writ petition itself is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. The petitioner has filed the above Writ Petition to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondent to return his original Driving License bearing D.L.No. TN20 19990001716 by considering his representation dated 30.6.2017.

3.It is the case of the petitioner that he is a Driver in the Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Limited and in the accident that had occurred on 13.06.2017, the petitioner's Driving License was seized by the the respondent. According to the petitioner, FIR was registered in Crime No.649 of 2017 under Section 279 and 338 of IPC.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the issue involved in the present Writ Petition is covered by the decision of this Court reported in 2015 (2) CTC 626 [R.Ravi Vs. The Regional Transport Officer, Transport Department, Chennai - 600 078 [Chennai (West)]] wherein it has been held as follows:

"Following the ratio laid down by the Division Bench of this Court reported in P.Sethuram V. The Licensing Authority, The Regional Transport Officer, Dindigul, 2009 (2) TN MAC 252 (DB) : 2010 WLR 100, the impugned Order passed by the Respondent is liable to be set aside and accordingly, the same is set aside. The Respondent is directed to return the Driving Licence of the petitioner within a week from the date of receipt of a copy of this Order. However, it shall not preclude the Respondent from initiating any action, if any of the contingencies specified in Motor Vehicles Act, arises later or if any of the Rules as prescribed by the Central Government, in pursuance of the provisions of the Act, are violated."

5. Mr.S.Diwakar, learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondent also submitted that the issue is covered by the judgment of this Court reported in 2015 (2) CTC 626 [R.Ravi Vs. The Regional Transport Officer, Transport Department, Chennai - 600 078 [Chennai (West)]].

6.Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, I direct the respondent to return the petitioner's original Driving License bearing D.L.No. TN20 19990001716 of the petitioner within a week's time from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. However, it shall not preclude the respondent from initiating any action, if any of the contingencies specified in Motor Vehicles Act, arises later or if any of the Rules as prescribed by the Central Government, in pursuance of the provisions of the Act, are violated.

With these observations, the Writ Petition is allowed.
No costs.

-s/d-
Assistant Registrar (CS-IV)

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

rg
To

The Regional Transport Officer
Poonamallee Chennai 600 056
+1 Cc to Mr.R. Jayaprakash, advocate sr 66069.

W.P.No.18375 of 2017

SP(13/09/2017)