

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED:12.10.2017

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAVINDRAN
S.A. Nos.1029 & 1135 of 2001
and
C.M.P.Nos.10748, 20869 of 2001

Malik & Co,
represented by its Proprietor,
Baghat Singh Malik,
at No.27-A Thiruvengadam Street,
Gugai, Salem,
rep. by its Power Agent,
Sri.N.Kailasam, No.1.Ramachandra
Road, T.Nagar,
Chennai-17.

..Appellant in S.A.No.1029/2001/
Plaintiff

Sukhminder singh,
Proprietor,
Western Express
at No.6/241, Mahalakshmi Nagar,
Karambakkam, Porur,
Chennai.

..Appellant in S.A.No.1135/2001/
Defendant
Vs.

Sukhminder singh,
Proprietor,
Western Express
at No.6/241, Mahalakshmi Nagar,
Karambakkam, Porur,
Chennai.

Now at No.22-A M.G.R.Road,
Kalashetra Colony,
Besant Nagar, Chennai-90.

..Respondent in S.A.No.1029/2001/
Defendant

Malik & Co,
represented by its Proprietor,
Baghat Singh Malik,
at No.27-A Thiruvengadam Street,
Gugai, Salem.

..Respondent in S.A.No.1135/2001/
Plaintiff

Prayer in SA.No.1029/01:- Second Appeal has been filed under
Section 100 of C.P.C., against the judgment and decree of the
Learned VII Additional City Civil Judge, Chennai in
A.S.No.214/99 dated 14.11.2000 on Appeal from the Judgment and
decree of the Learned I Assistant City Civil Judge, Chennai in
O.S.No.10940/96 dated 21.06.1999.

Prayer in SA.No.1135/01:- Second Appeal has been filed under Section 100 of C.P.C., against the judgment and decree dated 14.11.2000 in A.S.No.214/1999 on the file of the VII Additional City Civil Judge, Chennai, Partially modifying the Judgment and Decree dated 21.06.1999 in O.S.No.10940/1996 of the file of the I Assistant Judge City Civil Court, Chennai.

For Appellants : No appearance
in both appeals

For Respondents : No appearance
in both appeals

C O M M O N J U D G M E N T

These matters were listed on 11.10.2017 and on that day, there was no representation for the appellants as well as the respondents in both appeals. Accordingly, Registry was directed to list the matters today (12.10.2017) under the caption "for dismissal".

2. It is further found that the Registry has printed the names of the parties in cause list as directed.

3. Today, when the matter is called, there is no representation for the appellants as well as the respondents in both matters. Appellants called, absent in both matters. Resultantly, both appeals are dismissed for non prosecution. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitioners are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS IX)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The learned VII Additional City Civil Judge,
Chennai.
2. The learned I Assistant City Civil Judge,
Chennai.
3. The Section Officer, VR Section,
High Court, Madras.

S.A. Nos.1029 & 1135 of 2001

SKV (CO)
sm:14.12.2017