

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23.11.2017

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAVINDRAN

S.A. No.1019 of 2001

1.Rathinasamy (Deseased)

2.Madhavaraj

3.Anantharaman

(Appellants 2 and 3 brought on record as L.R's of the deceased sole appellant vide order of the Court dated 06.11.2017 made in C.M.P.Nos.598 to 600 of 2012 in S.A.No.1019 of 2001) ... Appellants

Vs.

The Commissioner,
Cuddalore Municipality,
Cuddalore. ... Respondent

Prayer:- Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of C.P.C., against the judgment and Decree of the learned Principal District Judge, Cuddalore, dated 29.10.1999 passed in A.S.No.62 of 1999 dismissing the appeal confirming the decree and judgment of the District Munsif of Cuddalore in O.S.No.85 of 1997 dated 24.12.1998.

For Appellants :M/s.K.Grahalakshmi

For Respondent :Mr.N.Subbarayalu

J U D G M E N T

Both counsel present. Learned counsel for the appellants has made an endorsement, stating that the second appeal has become infructuous and prays for the dismissal of the same on the said reason.

2. In the light of the above said endorsement, the second appeal is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-
Asst.Registrar (CS V)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

To

1.The Principal District Judge,
Cuddalore.


2.The District Munsif,
Cuddalore.

3.The Commissioner,
Cuddalore Municipality,
Cuddalore.

+1cc to Mr.Gururaj, Advocate in sr.no.84228

SA.NO.1019/2001

AD(CO)
NR 21/12/2017



WEB COPY