

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.07.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P. No. 17975 of 2017

1. S.Velmurugan
2. P.Velu
3. G.Mohan

..... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Secretary,
Higher Education Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 9.
2. The Director of Technical Education,
Guindy, Chennai - 25.
3. The Chief Engineer,
Technical Education Department,
Guindy, Chennai - 25.
4. The Executive Engineer P.W.D.,
Technical Education Division,
Vellore - 2.

..... Respondents

Prayer : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st and 2nd respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners as annexed to the writ petition for regularization of their services on completion of 10 years of NMR/Casual Labourers services as per G.O.2D.No.29 PWD (C2) Department dated 09.02.2016 on the basis of representation dated 28.03.2017 with effect from our initial appointment and within a time to be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioners : Ms. Esairani Narasimman

For Respondents : Mr.M.Perumal
Government Advocate

ORDER

The restricted prayer sought for in this writ petition is to consider the claim of the petitioners for regularization of service on completion of 10 years of NMR/Casual Labourers services as per G.O.2D.No.29 Public Works Department (C2) dated 09.02.2016.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioners contended that the claim of regularization in respect of similarly placed persons were considered by the Government and the arrears were also paid and the case of the writ petitioners alone are not considered despite the length of services rendered by the writ petitioners.

3. This Court is inclined to consider the grievance of the writ petitioners to the extent of directing the Respondents to consider the representation of the writ petitioners submitted on 28th March 2017 on merits and in accordance with Law, within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this Order.

4. It is needless to state that the claim of the writ petitioners shall be considered, provided they are qualified for regularization as per the Rules in force.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of. However, there is no order as to costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS II)

//True Copy//

सत्यमेव जयते Sub Assistant Registrar

may a

To

1. The Secretary,
Higher Education Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 9.
2. The Director of Technical Education,
Guindy, Chennai - 25.

WEB COPY

3. The Chief Engineer,
Technical Education Department,
Guindy, Chennai - 25.


4. The Executive Engineer P.W.D.,
Technical Education Division,
Vellore - 2.

+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.50119

+1cc to M/s.S.Esairani Narasimman, Advocate, S.R.No.50013

W.P.No. 17975 of 2017

SS (CO)
CU (28/07/2017)



WEB COPY