

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05.12.2017

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

Crl.A.Nos.1654 & 1655 of 2003

Pushpa Devaraj

... Appellant /Complainant
in both Criminal Appeals

Vs.

R.S.Mani @ R.Subramani

... Respondent/Accused
in both Criminal Appeals

Prayer in Crl.A.No.1654 of 2003 :

Criminal Appeal filed under sections 378 of Criminal Procedure Code against the judgment of the learned VI Addl. Sessions Judge, Chennai in C.A.Nos.188 of 2000 dated 28.04.2003 setting aside the judgment of the trial Court in C.C.No.9505/1998 on the file of the learned X Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore convicting the respondent/accused under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act and sentencing him to undergo three months S.I. and to pay fine of Rs.3000/- in default to undergo S.I. for three months besides awarding compensation of Rs.10,000/- to the petitioner herein and acquitting the accused from the charge.

Prayer in Crl.A.No.1655 of 2003 :

Criminal Appeal filed under sections 378 of Criminal Procedure Code against the judgment of the learned VI Addl. Sessions Judge, Chennai in C.A.Nos.189 of 2000 dated 28.04.2003 setting aside the judgment of the trial Court in C.C.No.74/1999 on the file of the learned X Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore convicting the respondent/accused under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act and sentencing him to undergo three months S.I. and to pay fine of Rs.3000/- in default to undergo S.I. for three months besides awarding compensation of Rs.50,000/- to the petitioner herein and acquitting the accused from the charge.

For Appellant : No Appearance
(in both Criminal Appeals)

For Respondent :Mr.T.V.Krishnakumar
(in both Criminal Appeals)

COMMON ORDER

These appeals have been filed against the order of acquittal. When the matter was taken up for hearing on 30.11.2017, there was no representation for the appellant and hence, the matter was posted today " for dismissal ". Even today (05.12.2017), there is no representation on behalf of the appellant either in person or through the counsel on record. Hence, the Criminal Appeal is dismissed for default.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

dh

To

1. The VI Addl. Sessions Judge, Chennai.
2. Do- Through The Principal Sessions Judge, Chennai
3. The learned X Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore.
4. Do-Through The Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai.
5. The Section Officer, Criminal Section, High Court Madras.

SV(CO)
RRK(24/01/2018)

Crl.A.Nos.1654 & 1655 of 2003

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY