

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.10.2017

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr.JUSTICE A.SELVAM
and
THE HON'BLE Mr.JUSTICE P.KALAIYARASAN

W.P.No.17042 of 2017

Venkatalakshmiamma

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Inspector General of Registration
100, Santhome High Road
Chennai- 600 028
2. The Additional Inspector General of Registration
Salem-7
3. The District Registrar
Krishnagiri
4. The Sub-Registrar
Soolagiri
5. Tmt.V.Sakunthala
6. Tmt.Poojadevi Rathi

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 3rd respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 20.03.2017 and dispose of the same in accordance with law and Circular No.67 dated 03.11.2011 in C/ No.52338/C1/2011 for cancellation of fraudulent transfer made in respect of land to an extent of 0.08.0 Hectare comprised in Survey No.74/11 of Nallaganakothapalli Village, Shoolagiri, Krishnagiri District within the time to be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Bharath Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.R.Vijayakumar,
Additional Government Pleader
for R1 to R4
No appearance for R5 and R6

O R D E R

[Order of the Court was made by A.SELVAM, J.]

This Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to direct the third respondent to consider the representation dated 20.03.2017, alleged to have been given by the petitioner in respect of a fraudulent document, in view of the Circular No.67 dated 03.11.2011, by way of issuing a writ of mandamus.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has advanced his arguments on the basis of averments made in the petition.

3.The learned Additional Government Pleader has submitted a copy of the Circular dated 20.10.2017, issued by the Government of Tamil Nadu, wherein, it has been clearly stated that Circular No.67 dated 03.11.2011 has already been withdrawn.

4.The main relief sought in the writ petition is to direct the third respondent to consider the representation dated 20.03.2017 alleged to have been given by the petitioner.

5.Considering the fact that the petitioner has given a representation dated 20.03.2017 and the same is pending on the file of the third respondent in respect of cancellation of a fraudulent document, this Court is inclined to pass the following order.

6. In fine, the writ petition is allowed in-part without costs. The third respondent is directed to look into the representation dated 20.03.2017 and dispose of the same as early as possible, after giving opportunity to the persons concerned.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

gpa

To

1. The Inspector General of Registration
100, Santhome High Road
Chennai- 600 028

2. The Additional Inspector General of Registration
Salem-7

3. The District Registrar
Krishnagiri


4. The Sub-Registrar
Soolagiri

+2cc's to Mr.R.Arun Kumar, Advocate, S.R.No.75463

+1cc to Mr.R.Bharath Kumar, Advocate, S.R.No.75973

W.P.No.17042 of 2017

AR V
CA(13/11/2017)



WEB COPY