

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22.03.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

S.A.No.882 of 2011

P.Sridharan

.. Appellant/Plaintiff

Vs.

1. V.Natesa Gurukkal

2. Arulmigu Muthu Mariamman Temple,
Velippalayam rep. by the Executive Officer,
Arulmigu Sattanatha Swami Temple,
Nagapattinam.

.. Respondents

Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of the Civil Procedure Code against the judgment and decree dated 16.09.2009 in A.S.No.48 of 2008 on the file of the Sub-Court, Nagapattinam, against the judgment and decree dated 13.08.2008 in O.S.No.178 of 2004 on the file of the District Munsif Court, Nagapattinam.

For appellant : No appearance
For respondents : Mr.S.Sounthar for R-1
No appearance for R-2

JUDGMENT

When the Second Appeal came up for hearing on 20.03.2017, there was no representation for the appellant and hence, it was directed to be listed under the caption "for dismissal" today (22.03.2017). Even today, when the matter is called, there is no representation for the appellant either in person or through counsel. Hence, the Second Appeal is dismissed for default. No costs.

-s/d-

Assistant Registrar (CS-IV)

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

Copy to

1. The Subordinate Judge, Nagapattinam.
2. The District Munsif, Nagapattinam.
3. The Record Keeper, V.R. Section, High Court, Madras.

+1 CC to Mr. S. Sounthar, Advocate sr 17500

S.A.No.882 of 2011

MSM(CO)
sp/5/4



WEB COPY