

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.02.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.RAJENDRAN

Writ Petition No.2631 of 2017

Boopal

... Petitioner

vs.

1. The National Commission for Scheduled Caste,
rep. By its Research Officer,
2nd Floor, Block 5, Shastri Bhavan,
College Road, Nungambakkam,
Chennai 600 006.
2. The Director General of Police,
Mylapore, Chennai 600 004.
3. The District Collector,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.
4. The Superintendent of Police,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.
5. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Chengalpattu,
Kancheepuram District.
6. The Tahsildar,
Thirukazhukundram Taluk,
Thirukazhukundram,
Kancheepuram District.
7. Anumanthai
8. Senthilvelan
9. Sankari

... Respondents

WEB COPY

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ of mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to conduct inquiry on the petitioner's complaint dated 05.08.2016.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Aswin
for Mr.A.Ramalingam

For Respondents 1 to 6 : Mr.A.Kumar,
Special Government Pleader

O R D E R

The petitioner has come up with this Writ Petition seeking a direction to the 1st respondent to conduct inquiry on his complaint dated 05.08.2016.

2. The case of the petitioner is that on 26.07.2016, the Sub-Inspector, Kayar Police Station called him over phone and enquired about a complaint allegedly given by one Karthick. Finding that the petitioner is not connected with the said complaint, he was allowed to go. While so, on 28.07.2016, about 9.30 p.m., respondents 8 and 9 came to his house and forcefully took him away to police station and on the same day, about 10 p.m., the 7th respondent abused the petitioner in a filthy language and also attacked him. In this regard, the petitioner made a detailed complaint to the official respondents herein on 05.08.2016 to take action against respondents 7 to 9. Pursuant thereto, the 1st respondent vide his letter dated 10.08.2016 forwarded the petitioner's complaint to the 4th respondent for taking appropriate action. Since there is no progress on his complaint, the petitioner is before this Court.

3. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the material documents available on record.

4. Since the 1st respondent has already forwarded the petitioner's complaint to the 4th respondent vide his letter dated 10.08.2016, the petitioner ought to have approached the 1st respondent/National Commission for Schedule Caste, Chennai in respect of his grievance. Thus, when the 1st respondent is seized of the matter, the petitioner cannot directly approach this Court. Hence, this Writ Petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the 1st respondent herein in respect of his grievance. No costs.

-Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar

To:

1. The Research Officer,
National Commission for Scheduled Castes,
2nd Floor, Block 5, Shastri Bhavan,
College Road, Nungambakkam,
Chennai 600 006.
2. The Director General of Police,
Mylapore, Chennai 600 004.
3. The District Collector,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.
4. The Superintendent of Police,
Kancheepuram District,
Kancheepuram.
5. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Chengalpattu,
Kancheepuram District.
6. The Tahsildar,
Thirukazhukundram Taluk,
Thirukazhukundram,
Kancheepuram District.

+ 1 cc The Government Pleader, High Court, Madras Sr.No.7315

W.P.No.2631 of 2017

NMI (CO)

RRI 01/03/2017

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY