

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.09.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.P.Nos.10243 and 10244 of 2004

And

W.P.M.P.Nos.11958 and 11960 of 2004

Dr.K.Ramu ... Petitioner in W.P.No.10243 of 2004
Dr.Muruganandham ... Petitioner in W.P.No.10244 of 2004

Vs.

1. State of Tamil Nadu represented by
The Secretary to Government,
Rural Development Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 9.
2. State of Tamil Nadu represented by
The Secretary to Government,
Indian Medicine and Homeopathy Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 9.
3. The Director of Rural Development,
Panagal Buildings,
Saidapet,
Chennai - 15.
4. The Director of Local Fund Audit,
Kuralagam, 5th Floor,
Chennai - 108.
5. The Commissioner,
Srivilliputhur Panchayat Union,
Virudhunagar District. ... Respondents in both the W.Ps.

Prayer in W.P.No.10243 of 2004:

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the Government order Ms.No.250, Rural Development Department dated 14.09.2000 issued by the first respondent herein quash the same and consequently direct the respondents 1 to 5 to extend all the terminal benefits to the petitioner treating him as a full time Government Servant.

Prayer in W.P.No.10244 of 2004:

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the Government order Ms.No.250, Rural Development Department (E5) dated 14.09.2000 issued by the first respondent herein quash the same and consequently direct the respondents 1 to 5 to extend all the terminal benefits to the petitioner treating him as a full time Government Servant.

For Petitioners : Mr.B.Pugalendhi
For Respondents : Mrs.K.Bhuvaneswari for R1 to R4
Government Advocate
Mr.S.T.S.Murthy for R5

C O M M O N O R D E R

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submit that the relief sought for in these writ petitions have become infructuous and hence the writ petitions may be dismissed as infructuous. He has also made an endorsement to that effect in the bundle.

2.Recording the endorsement made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, these writ petitions are dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

pri
To

1. The Secretary to Government,
Rural Development Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 9.
2. The Secretary to Government,
Indian Medicine and Homeopathy Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 9.
3. The Director of Rural Development,
Panagal Buildings, Saidapet,
Chennai - 15.


4. The Director of Local Fund Audit,
Kuralagam, 5th Floor,
Chennai - 108.

5. The Commissioner,
Srivilliputhur Panchayat Union,
Virudhunagar District.

+1cc to Mr.R.Santhanam, Advocate in sr.69247
+1cc to Government Pleader in sr.70650

W.P.Nos.10243 and
10244 of 2004
And
W.P.M.P.Nos.11958 and
11960 of 2004

RR (CO)
CS/27/11/17



WEB COPY