

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated: 11.01.2017

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice M.M.SUNDRESH
and
The Hon'ble Mr.Justice N.SESHASAYEE

C.M.A. Nos.2141 and 2142 of 2010
and
C.M.P.Nos. 1+1 of 2010

ANUJ Manaktala Appellant
Versus

Reshma Manaktala ... Respondent

Civil Miscellaneous Appeals filed under Section 19 of the Family Court Act, against the order dated 11.08.2009 in both O.P.No.3077 of 2007 and F.C.O.P.No.917 of 2005, rendered by the Principal Judge, II Additional Family Court, at Chennai.

For Appellant ... No appearance

For Respondent ... No appearance

JUDGMENT

(Delivered by M.M.Sundresh, J)

When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits that the appellant has taken the papers along with change of vakalat and therefore, there is no instruction forthcoming from him to pursue the matter further.

2. From the records, it is seen that originally the appeal was filed by M/s Shah and Shah and thereafter, the present counsel is appearing. Therefore, we are of the view that the appellant is not having interest in pursuing the matter any longer.

3. In such a view of the matter, we are not inclined to adjourn the case, as it is pending for more than six years. Accordingly, both the appeals stand dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is given to the appellant to file restoration application if he so desires. Consequently, C.M.P.No.1+1 of 2010 are closed. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CO)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar


gr.

To:

The Principal Judge,
IIInd Additional Family Court,
Chennai.

C.M.A. Nos.2141 and 2142 of 2010

ak[co]
srg 6/2/2017



WEB COPY