

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21.09.2017

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.DURAISWAMY

W.P.No.25365 of 2017

S.Sakthivel

...Petitioner

v.

1 The District Revenue Officer  
Namakkal

2 Executive Officer  
Arulmighu Sri Varadaraja Perumal Temple  
Pavithram Village, Sendhamangalam Taluk  
Namakkal District

3 Commissioner of Revenue Administration  
Chennai - 5.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to consider the representation made by the petitioner dated 20.08.2017 (Reminder to letter dated 09.08.2016 of 2nd respondent) and pass appropriate final orders within a reasonable time frame as fixed by this court pertaining to cracker shop situated as an encroachment in temple lands.

For Petitioner :Mr.B.Jagannath

For Respondents:Mr.R.Rajeswaran

Special Govt. Pleader - for R1 & R3

Mr.M.Majaraja

Special Govt. Pleader - for R2

O R D E R

Mr.R.Rajeswaran, learned Special Government Pleader, takes notice for the respondents 1 and 3 and Mr.M.Majaraja, learned Special Government Pleader, takes notice for the 2nd respondent. By consent, the main writ petition itself is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. The petitioner has filed the above writ petition to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to consider his representation dated 20.08.2017 and the letter dated 9.08.2016 of the 2nd respondent and pass

appropriate final orders, within a reasonable time pertaining to the cracker shop situated as an encroachment in temple lands.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has given his objections for locking cracker shop in the temple land of the 2nd respondent. However, the 1st respondent has not considered the objections of the petitioner as well as the 2nd respondent so far.

4. Mr.R.Rajeswaran, learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 1 and 3 submitted that the 1st respondent may be directed to consider the objections submitted by the petitioner as well as the 2nd respondent, in accordance with law, within a period of two weeks.

5. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, without expressing any opinion with regard to the merits of the case, I direct the 1st respondent to consider the objections submitted by the petitioner as well as the 2nd respondent and pass orders, in accordance with law, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With this observation, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-  
Assistant Registrar(CS IV)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Rj  
To

1 The District Revenue Officer  
Namakkal

2 Executive Officer  
Arulmighu Sri Varadaraja Perumal Temple  
Pavithram Village, Sendhamangalam Taluk  
Namakal District

3 Commissioner of Revenue Administration  
Chennai - 5.

+ 1 cc to Mr. B. Jagannath, Advocate Sr.69277

W.P.No.25365 of 2017

CS-IV(CO)

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>  
EU (22/09/2017)