

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21.09.2017

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.DURAI SWAMY

W.P.No.25248 of 2017

A.Radhakrishnan

[Petitioner]

Vs

- 1 The commissioner of Municipal Administration, Chepauk, Chennai-5
 - 2 The Director of Town Panchayats, Kuralagam, Chennai-108
 - 3 The District Collector Kancheepuram District, Kancheepuram.
 - 4 The Commissioner of Police Chennai
 - 5 The Commissioner Poonamallee Municipality Poonamallee Chennai-56
 - 6 The Executive Officer Town Panchayat Mangadu Kancheepuram District
 - 7 The Deputy Commissioner/ Executive Officer Arulmigu Kamatchiamman Temple Mangadu, Kancheepuram District.
- [Respondents]

Petition filed under Article 226 of The Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner representation dated 29.8.2016 and take appropriate action to remove and clean the drainage let out the in the properties of the Arulmigu Kamatchiamman Thirukovil situated near Malliga Theatre Mangadu Kancheepuram District

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Marudhachalamurthy
For Respondents: Mr.R.Venkatesh, GA (R1-5)
Mr.Elumalai, GA (R6)
Mr.M.Maharaja, SGP (HR&CE)
for R7

O R D E R

Mr.R.Venkatesh, learned Government Advocate, takes notice for the respondents 1 to 5, Mr.Elumalai, learned Government Advocate, takes notice for the 6th respondent and Mr.M.Maharaja, learned Special Government Pleader, takes notice for the 7th respondent. By consent, the main writ petition itself is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. The petitioner has filed the above Writ Petition to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider his representation dated 29.8.2016 and to take appropriate action to remove and clean the drainage let out in the properties of the Arulmigu Kamatchiamman Thirukovil situated near Malliga Theatre, Mangadu, Kancheepuram District.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the 2nd respondent may be directed to consider the petitioner's representation dated 29.08.2016 in accordance with law.

4. Mr.R.Venkatesh, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents 1 to 5 submitted that the 2nd respondent may be directed to consider the petitioner's representation and pass orders in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks.

5. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, without expressing any opinion with regard to the merits of the case, I direct the 2nd respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 29.08.2016 and pass orders in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With this observation, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS II)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

- 1 The commissioner of Municipal
Administration, Chepauk, Chennai-5
- 2 The Director of Town
Panchayats, Kuralagam, Chennai-108
- 3 The District Collector
Kancheepuram District, Kancheepuram.
- 4 The Commissioner of Police
Chennai
- 5 The Commissioner
Poonamallee Municipality Poonamallee
Chennai-56
- 6 The Executive Officer
Town Panchayat Mangadu
Kancheepuram District
- 7 The Deputy Commissioner/
Executive Officer
Arulmigu Kamatchiamman Temple
Mangadu, Kancheepuram District.

+ 1 cc to Mr. R. Marudhachalamurthy, Advocate SR.70307
+ 1 cc to the Government Pleader Sr.69821

W.P.No.25248 of 2017

KK(CO)
EU(23/10/2017)

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY