

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.09.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

W.P.No.25164 of 2014
and
M.P.Nos.1 to 3 of 2014

Tamil Nadu Judicial Ministerial
Officers Association,
rep. by its President,
P.Karunakaran Petitioner

Vs.

1. Government of Tamil Nadu,
rep. by its Secretary,
Home Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.
2. The High Court of Judicature at Madras
rep. by its Registrar General,
High Court Buildings,
Chennai-600 104.
3. The Secretary,
Tamilnadu Public Service Commission,
Frazer Bridge Road,
V.O.C.Nagar, Park Town,
Chennai-600 003. ... Respondent

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution seeking to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for records pertaining to G.O.Ms.No.885, dated 20.12.2011, issued by Home (Courts-I) Department, Government of Tamilnadu, the first respondent herein read with the notification dated 26.08.2014, issued by the third respondent herein, calling for applications for 162 vacancies for the post of Civil Judge, quash the same and consequently direct the third respondent to issue a fresh notification in line with G.O.Ms.No.504, dated 13.09.2011, issued by Home (Court-I) Department, Government of Tamilnadu without prescribing any age limit, as per the law laid down by the Supreme Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Dharani
For Respondents : Mr.P.S.Shivashanmugasundaram,
Special Government Pleader
for R1

Mr.C.T.Mohan for R2

Ms.C.N.G.Niraimathi for R3

JUDGMENT

[Judgment of the Court was made by RAJIV SHAKDHER, J.]

1. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the captioned petition with liberty to challenge the relevant rules, i.e., Tamil Nadu State Judicial Service (Cadre and Recruitment) Rules, 2007 (in short, "Rules"), reference to which is made in the order dated 21.01.2012, passed in W.P.No.3413 of 2012.

1.1. An endorsement to that effect has been made on the paper book.

2. The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for, albeit, in accordance with law. Resultantly, pending applications shall stand dismissed. There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

3. Furthermore, liberty is also given to the petitioner to make a representation on the administrative side to the Hon'ble The Chief Justice for incorporating suitable amendment in the aforementioned Rules.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

gg

To

1. The Secretary,
Home Department,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.

2. The Registrar General,
High Court of Judicature at Madras
High Court Buildings,
Chennai-600 104.


3. The Secretary,
Tamilnadu Public Service Commission,
Frazer Bridge Road,
V.O.C.Nagar, Park Town,
Chennai-600 003.

Copy To

The Assistant Registrar,
Legal Cell,
High Court, Madras 104.

W.P.No.25164 of 2014

VD(CO)
CA(05/10/2017)



WEB COPY