

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATE : 16.03.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR

A.S.No.857 of 2010

MP.No.1 of 2010

Mr.Moris Anthuvan Dhanaraj ... Appellant/Plaintiff

Versus

1. Smt.Amirthathammal

2. Mr.S.Dharmaraj

3. Mr.S.Johnson Respondents/Defendants

Prayer:- This Appeal suit has been filed under Section 96 of CPC read with Order 41 Rule 1 of CPC to set aside the judgment and decree dated 09.7.2010 and made in O.S.No.21 of 2009 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Puducherry at Karaikkal and decree the suit in O.S.No.26 of 2009 for specific performance as prayed for.

For Appellant : Mr.R.Rangarajan

For R1 : Mr.R.Saravanan
For M/s.Sai, Bharath & Ilan

For R2 & R3 : No Appearance

J U D G M E N T

It is represented that the matter has been settled between the parties and a joint memo of compromise to that effect has also been filed by the appellant and the respondents.

2. In view of the joint memo of compromise filed by the parties, the Appeal suit is disposed of. The joint memo of compromise shall form part of the judgment. No costs.

3. The learned counsel for the appellant has relied on a judgment in the case of Venkatachalam and others vs. Sengoda Gounder and others, reported in 2014 (6) CTC 216, and seeks for refund of the entire Court fee paid for which the learned

counsel for the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the entire court fee is directed to be refunded to the appellant. Connected MP closed.

* Xerox copy of Memo of
Compromise is enclosed

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

ga

To

The Additional District Judge,
Puducherry at Karaikkal.

Copy To

- 1.The Section Officer,
V.R.Section,
High Court, Madras.
- 2.The Section Officer,
A.E.Section,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.K.Ramachandran, Advocate, S.R.No.17120
+1cc to M/s.Sai, Bharath & Ilan, Advocate, S.R.No.16896

A.S.No.857 of 2010

VSN(CO)
CA(10/04/2017)

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY