

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07.09.2017

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.DURAISWAMY

W.P.No.24116 of 2017
and W.M.P.No.25462 of 2017

S.Panneerselvam

[Petitioner]

Vs

1 The Motor Vehicles Inspector
Grade I, Enforcement Wing,
JTC Office, Chennai 23.

2 The Regional Transport Officer
Kolathur, Chennai North,
Chennai 99

[Respondents]

Petition filed under Article 226 of The Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of mandamus directing the 2nd respondent herein to release the petitioner's contract carriage Omni Bus PY-01/BW-0537 forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Hariharan

For Respondents : Mr.S.Diwakar, SGP

ORDER

Mr.S.Diwakar, learned Special Government Pleader, takes notice for the respondents.

2. By consent, the main writ petition itself is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The petitioner has filed the above Writ Petition to issue a writ of mandamus to direct the 2nd respondent to release her Contract Carriage Omni Bus PY-01/BW-0537 forthwith.

4. It is the case of the petitioner that he holds a Contract Carriage Omni Bus permit issued by STA Pondicherry in respect of vehicle number PY-01/BW-0537, which is valid upto 13.05.2019. The petitioner also obtained special permit u/s 88(8) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 for 7 days valid from 10.08.2017 to 16.08.2017 and it is the further case of the petitioner that when the vehicle was on a tour, the 1st respondent on 11.08.2017 checked the vehicle and seized the same for the alleged violation of permit conditions such as proof for payment of Tamil nadu Tax not available during

check. After the seizure, the 1st respondent left the custody of the vehicle with the Sub Inspector of Police K-7 ICF Police Station. As per Section 207(2) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act"), once the request to release the vehicle is made, the vehicle has to be returned to the owner of the vehicle or the person in charge of the vehicle. However, contrary to the provision of the Act, the 1st respondent seized the vehicle and left it in the custody of the Sub Inspector of Police K-7 ICF Police Station. On 12.08.2017, the petitioner produced all the original records before the 2nd respondent and requested to release the vehicle, but, the vehicle was not released till this date.

5. Since the request was made to release the vehicle under Section 207(2) of the Act, the vehicle should have been returned to the owner of the vehicle. In the case on hand, till today, the vehicle has not been returned to the petitioner, against the provisions of the Act.

6. In these circumstances, I direct the respondents to return the petitioner's vehicle bearing Registration No.PY-01/BW-0537 to the petitioner, after verification of all the relevant documents produced by the petitioner and also on payment of applicable tax and on further condition that the petitioner shall file an affidavit of undertaking to the effect that the petitioner will produce the petition mentioned vehicle as and when required by the respondents and will not alienate the same, without prior permission from the respondents, forthwith. It is also open to the respondents to proceed against the petitioner for the alleged violation of the permit conditions, in accordance with law.

With the above observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

rg
To

- 1 The Motor Vehicles Inspector
Grade I, Enforcement Wing, JTC Office, Chennai 23.
- 2 The Regional Transport Officer
Kolathur, Chennai North, Chennai 99

+ 1 cc to Mr. K. Hariharan, Advocate R.64812

W.P.No.24116 of 2017

CS-V

FU 8.09.17