

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED:07.06.2017

CORAM

The Honourable MR. JUSTICE M.DURAI SWAMY

W.P.No.14116 of 2017

L.Krishnappa

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The District Collector,
Krishnagiri.

2. The District Revenue Officer,
Krishnagiri.

3. The Sub Collector,
Hosur.

4. The Tahsildar,
Soolagiri Taluk Office,
Soolagiri,
Krishnagiri District.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ of mandamus to direct the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 21.03.2017 for rectification of errors crept in, in the Revenue records relating to land to an extent of 95 cents in Survey No.1051/4 situate at Thiyanadurgam Village, Soolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District within the time to be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Bharath Kumar

For Respondents : Mrs.K.Bhuvaneswari,
Government Advocate

WEB COPY
ORDER

Mrs.K.Bhuvaneswari, learned Government Advocate, takes notice for the respondents.

2. By consent, the main writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. The petitioner has filed the above writ petition to issue a writ of mandamus to direct the respondents to consider his representation dated 21.03.2017 for rectification of errors crept in, in the Revenue records relating to the land to an extent of 95 cents in Survey No.1051/4 situate at Thiyyaranadurgam Village, Soolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District within a time frame.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that inspite of the petitioner's representation dated 21.03.2017, given to the respondents, the respondents have not considered the same yet.

5. Mrs.K.Bhuvaneswari, learned Government Advocate for the respondents submitted that the second respondent may be directed to consider the petitioner's representation in accordance with law.

6. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, taking into consideration the limited prayer sought for in this writ petition, without expressing any opinion with regard to the merits of the case, I direct the second respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 21.03.2017 and pass orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, after giving notice to all the interested parties.

With the above observation, the writ petition is disposed of . No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS V)

//True Copy//

सत्यमेव जयते
Sub Assistant Registrar

gsk

To

1. The District Collector,
Krishnagiri.
2. The District Revenue Officer,
Krishnagiri.

WEB COPY

3. The Sub Collector,
Hosur.
4. The Tahsildar,
Soolagiri Taluk Office,
Soolagiri,
Krishnagiri District.

+lcc to Mr.R.Bharath Kumar, Advocate, S.R.No.40840
+lcc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.40481

W.P.No.14116 of 2017

CS/14/06/17



WEB COPY