

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 12.01.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P. No.342 of 2017

& W.M.P.No.380 of 2017

Soundarajan

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Cuddalore District,
Cuddalore.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Virudhachalam Range,
Cuddalore District

3.The Inspector of Police,
Pennadam Police Station,
Cuddalore District.

4.Ramesh

.. Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the first and second respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 29.12.2016 in accordance with law.

For Petitioner

: Mr.M.Velmurugan

For Respondent Nos.1 to 3 : Mr.C.Emalias

Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

The petitioner has come up with the present writ petition for a mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 29.12.2016 in accordance with law.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that on 13.10.2016, he purchased a Lorry bearing Registration No.TN-30-AT-8123 from the

fourth respondent for valuable sale consideration of Rs.6,50,000/- and he paid a sum of Rs.1,25,000/-. Thereafter, the fourth respondent obtained petitioner's signature in 20 Rupees Stamp paper and three blank cheques and transferred the ownership of the lorry in the petitioner's name and he assured to arrange finance assistance for the balance amount. While so, on 28.12.2016, at about 12.30 p.m., the third respondent taken the lorry and also threatened the petitioner. In this connection, he made representation before the respondents 1 and 2 requesting them to release the lorry, which is in the custody of the third respondent. Since no orders have been passed on the said representation, the petitioner has filed the present writ petition.

3. I have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

4. In view of the limited prayer sought for by the petitioner, without going into the merits of the case, the respondents 1 and 2 are directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 29.12.2016 and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5.The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-
Asst.Registrar (CO)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

sri

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Cuddalore District,
Cuddalore.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Virudhachalam Range,
Cuddalore District

3.The Inspector of Police,
Pennadam Police Station,
Cuddalore District.

+lcc to Mr.M.Velmurugan, Advocate SR.No.3737.

W.P. No.342 of 2017

SAI (CO)
GN(30/01/2017)



12.01.2017

WEB COPY