

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20.03.2017

CORAM

THE HON`BLE MR.JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR

A.S.No.460 of 2007 & M.P.1 of 2007

1. Murugasamy
 2. Velumani
 3. Senthil Prabhu
 4. Minor Jayabharathi
rep. by her father and
natural guardian Murugasamy
- ... appellants

vs.

1. S.Mani
 2. P.Uthannamorthy
- ... Respondents

Appeal filed under section 96 of Civil Procedure Code to set aside the judgment and decree dated 13.12.2006 passed by the Additional District and Sessions Judge, (Fast Track Court No.I), Coimbatore and consequently dismiss the suit in O.S.No.833 of 2004 by allowing this appeal.

For appellants : No appearance

For Respondents : No appearance

J U D G M E N T

The learned counsel for the appellants not ready for arguments. He submitted that he is not having any instructions from the appellants.

2. Already three adjournments have been granted by this Court. Today also the learned counsel appearing for the appellants submitted that he is not having instructions from the appellants and he has sent a letter to the parties.

3. In view of the above submissions, this appeal is dismissed for non prosecution. Consequently, the connection petition is closed.

Sd/-
Asst.Registrar

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar


vrc

To The Additional District and Sessions Judge,
Coimbatore.

+1cc to M/S.S. Gunalan, Advocate Sr. 17516

A.S.No.460 of 2007

SKS (CO)
VR(04/04/2017)



WEB COPY