

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06.06.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA

W.P.Nos.13903 & 13904 of 2017

M.Rajamanickam ... Petitioner in W.P.13903 of 2017

K.M.Radha ... Petitioner in W.P.13904 of 2017

-Vs-

1. The District Collector
Office of the District Collector
Dharmapuri District
 2. The Director of Employment
Chepauk, Chennai
 3. The District Employment Officer
Office of the District Employment Officer
Dharmapuri Taluk, Dharmapuri District
Tamil Nadu
- ... Respondents in both
the Writ Petitions

Petitions under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issue of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the third respondent to consider the petitioners' representation dated 24.04.2017 duly addressed to the respondents herein and thus send an interview letter to the petitioners, as and when vacancy arises for any post suitable to the qualification of the petitioners.

For Petitioners : Mr.D.Ashokkumar

For Respondents : Mr.T.M.Pappiah
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

The petitioners are said to have registered their names in the District Employment Office, Krishnagiri with X standard fail qualification. Owing to bifurcation of Dharmapuri District into two districts, namely, Dharmapuri and Krishnagiri, the registration of the petitioners stood transferred to the District Employment Office at Dharmapuri and they have also renewed their registration periodically in the said office. Finally the registration was done in the month of June 2015 in

respect of the petitioner in W.P.No.13903 of 2017 and in the month of June 2016 in respect of the petitioner in W.P.No.13904 of 2017 and the next renewal has been fixed for June 2018 & June 2019 respectively. In the meanwhile, the petitioner in W.P.No.13903 of 2017 was also issued twice the interview cards from the office of the third respondent. Therefore, the grievance of the petitioners is that since they are at the verge of becoming overaged, a direction may be given to the third respondent to take care to issue the interview cards to them, by considering their representation.

2. But this Court may not be in a position to give any such direction. The reason is that when the petitioner in W.P.No.13903 of 2017 has admitted that he was issued twice the interview card by the third respondent, the third respondent, as per the seniority and qualification, will consider the case of the petitioners for sponsorship as and when any vacancy arises or as and when any request/representation is made to the third respondent by any office in this regard. Therefore, this Court is not able to find any merit in the writ petitions. Accordingly, the writ petitions fail and they are dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

ss

To

1. The District Collector
Office of the District Collector
Dharmapuri District
2. The Director of Employment
Chepauk
Chennai 600 005
3. The District Employment Officer
Office of the District Employment Officer
Dharmapuri Taluk
Dharmapuri District

+2cc's to Mr.D.Ashok Kumar, Advocate, S.R.Nos.40184 & 40185
+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.40760

W.P.Nos.13903 & 13904 of 2017

LRS (CO)
CA(23/06/2017)