

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.02.2017

CORAM

The Hon'ble MR.SANJAY KISHAN KAUL, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

The Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR

Civil Suit No.135 of 2011

Dorothy Thomas

... Plaintiff

Vs.

Rex Arul

... Defendant

Plaint filed under Order VII, Rule 1 of Civil Procedure Code read with Order IV, Rule 1 of Original Side Rules.

Prayer – (a) A declaration that the final order dated 01.11.2010 passed by the Superior Court of Cobb County, State of Georgia, USA in Civil Action File No.10-1-18238-48 to the extent that it deals with issues of custody of the minor child Rhea Immaculate Arul (aged 3½ years) as inconclusive, unenforceable, null and void and not binding on the plaintiff and the minor child Rhea Immaculate Arul, in all aspects except for the dissolution of the marriage between plaintiff and the defendant; (b) A permanent injunction restraining the defendant his men, agents, representative, assigns or any persons, claiming through or under him, from in any manner separating the plaintiff from the minor child namely Rhea Immaculate Arul (aged 3½ years) except by following due process of law; (c) A permanent injunction restraining the defendant, his men, agents, representatives, assigns or any persons, claiming through or under him, from publishing in any manner, directly or indirectly, whether orally, in writing or by signs or innuendo, any material that is defamatory or tends to defame, derogate, denigrate or lower the reputation of the plaintiff in the eyes of right minded persons in society; (d) A permanent injunction restraining the defendant his men, agents, representatives, assigns or any persons, claiming through or under him, from in any manner causing any physical harm to the plaintiff or the minor child namely Rhea Immaculate Arul (aged 3½ years); (e) such other or further reliefs that this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thereby

render justice.

For Plaintiff : Mr.J.Sivanandaraaj

For Defendant : Mr.A.Arulmozhi

* * * * *

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by The Hon'ble Chief Justice)
The suit file has been called at the joint request of the
learned counsel for parties.

2. In view of the joint memorandum of compromise and the
consent terms filed in O.S.A.No.259 of 2011, the suit is to be
dismissed as withdrawn. Endorsement has been made.

3. The suit is dismissed as withdrawn, leaving the parties to
bear their own costs.

(S.K.K., CJ.) (M.S, J.)
10.02.2017

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No

bbr

The Hon'ble Chief Justice
and
M.Sundar, J

bbr

C.S.No.135 of 2011

10.02.2017

<http://www.judis.nic.in>