

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.08.2017

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M. SUBRAMANIAM

W.P. No.23378 of 2017

and W.M.P. Nos.24470 to 24472 of 2017

Md. Abdul Qadir

..Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Quaide Milleth College for Men,
(Education Agency)
Represented by its Secretary &
Correspondent Mr.M.G. Dawood Miakhan,
Tambaram Velacherry Main Road,
Medavakkam,
Chennai - 600 100.

2.S. Fazal Abbas, Enquiry Officer,
No.33, Anjuman Street,
Fort, Vellore - 632 004.

3.The Director of Collegiate Education,
9th Floor, EVK Sampath Building,
College Road,
Nungambakkam High Road,
Chennai - 600 034.

4.The Regional Joint Director of Collegiate Education,
Chennai Region,
Teachers College Campus,
Saidapet, Chennai - 600 015.

5.Principal Accountant General,
'Lekhan Pariksha Bhavan',
No.361, Anna Salai,
Teynampet, Chennai - 600 018.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of
Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the enquire records
pertaining to the proceedings (a)
Na.Ka.No.Ka.Mi.Ka/O.Na/2014/1304, dated 10.12.2014, (b)

Rc.No.A/Est/DP/2015/1337 dated 31.01.2015 and c)
Rc.No.Qmc/2015/DP/MAQ dated 01.07.2017, all three proceedings
issued by the 1st respondent and to quash the same and
consequently direct the 1st, 3rd to 5th respondents to grant all
monetary, pensionary benefits, terminal dues as per the
petitioner's entitlements.

For Petitioner : Mr. V.J. Arul Raj

For Respondents : Mr.R.S. Selvam
Government Advocate for R3 & R4
Mr.V. Vijayshankar for R5

O R D E R

The charge memo dated 10.12.2014, issued against the
writ petitioner and the consequential orders dated 31.01.2015
and 01.07.2017, retaining the services of the writ petitioner
are challenged in this writ petition.

2. After arguing the matter for a considerable length
of time this Court expressed that the writ petition against the
charge memo cannot be entertained in a routine manner and the
same can be entertained only on exceptional circumstances and
the persons against to whom the disciplinary action are
initiated must participate in the disciplinary proceedings and
defend their case by proving their innocence.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the writ
petitioner has made a submission that he is willing to withdraw
the writ petition.

4. Accordingly, permission is granted, the writ
petition stands dismissed as withdrawn. There is no order as to
costs. Consequently connected Miscellaneous Petitions are also
dismissed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS III)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

rpl

TO

1.The Director of Collegiate Education,
9th Floor, EVK Sampath Building,
College Road,
Nungambakkam High Road,
Chennai - 600 034.


2.The Regional Joint Director of Collegiate Education,
Chennai Region,
Teachers College Campus,
Saidapet, Chennai - 600 015.

3.Principal Accountant General,
'Lekhan Pariksha Bhavan',
No.361, Anna Salai,
Teynampet, Chennai - 600 018.

+lcc to Mr.V.J.Arulraj, Advocate SR.No.62908
+lcc to Government Pleader SR.No.62813

W.P. No.23378 of 2017
and W.M.P. Nos.24470 to 24472 of 2017

SK(CO)
GN(04/10/2017)



WEB COPY