

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 28.11.2017

Coram

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER
&
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR

O.S.A.No.329 of 2017
and C.M.P.No.20662 of 2017

1.Dr.T.Sriram Thanigai
2.Dr.Sumitha Sriram ... Appellants

Vs.

1.Martin
2.Sathiamullar
3.Anandaraj
4.Emmiammal
5.Dinakaran Respondents

Appeal filed under Order XXXVI Rule I of the O.S.Rules r/w
Clause 15 of the Letters Patent, against the order dated
07.11.2017 made in A.No.1839 of 2017 in Tr.C.S.No.306 of 2016.

For Appellants : Mr.S.R.Rajagopal

For Respondents : Mr.Ravikumar Paul S.C.
For Paul & Paul I Hudson
Samuel and Enterprises.

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by RAJIV SHAKDHER, J)

1. After some arguments, Mr.Rajagopal, says that there is an apprehension entertained by the appellants, which is, that the respondents while complying with the directions contained in the impugned judgment and order, may violate the status quo, as directed to be maintained by another learned Single Judge of this Court, while passing the order dated 01.07.2014 in C.R.P. (PD)No.1823 of 2014.

1.1. Dehors this aspect, learned counsel says that the appellants have no grievance qua the impugned judgment and order, provided the respondents strictly adhere to the directions contained therein.

2. In these circumstances, the appeal is disposed of with liberty to the appellants to approach the learned Single Judge, in case, the respondents act in a manner, which is contrary to the directions contained in the order dated 01.07.2014. Consequently, connected pending application shall stand closed. There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CS-IV)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar


vsm
To

The Sub Assistant Registrar,
Original Side, High Court,
Madras.

+2cc to Mr.S.R.RAJAGOPAL Advocate, S.R.No. 84439
+1cc to Mr.PAUL & PAUL Advocate, S.R.No. 84914

O.S.A.No.329 of 2017

GJ II(CO)
TR(08/12/2017)



WEB COPY