

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13.10.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

Crl.O.P.No.21920 of 2017

Rani .. Petitioner/Defactor
Complainant

Vs.

1. The Inspector General of Police,
O/o The Inspector General of Police,
Central Zone,
Tiruchirappalli.
2. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o The Superintendent of Police,
Ariyalur District,
Ariyalur.
3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
O/o The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Jayamkondam,
Ariyalur District.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Udayar Palayam Police Station,
Udayar Palayam,
Jayamkondam,
Ariyalur District. .. Respondents/Complainants

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying to direct the respondents to register a case based on the complaint lodged by the petitioner dated 18.08.2017 and investigate the same according to law.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Santhaseelan

For Respondents : Mr.P.Govindarajan
Additional Public Prosecutor.

O R D E R

This petition is filed seeking a direction to the 4th respondent to register a case based on the complaint lodged by the petitioner dated 18.08.2017 and investigate the same according to law.

2.By consent of both sides, this Criminal Original Petition is taken up for final disposal.

3.The grievance of the petitioner is that inspite of a complaint given by her on 18.08.2017 to the 4th respondent , the same has been kept in abeyance without any action. It is well settled in the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2013 (6) CTC 353], that registration of an FIR is mandatory under Section 154 of the Code of Criminal Procedure if an information furnished to the police officer disclose commission of a cognizable offence and in cases where the information does not disclose a cognizable offence, a preliminary enquiry has to be conducted.

4. The 4th respondent is not justified in having received the complaint and keeping it unattended without any further action. In view of the same, the petitioner has made out a case for this Court to invoke its inherent powers under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code. Hence, the 4th respondent is directed as follows:

1)If the information received by the 4th respondent discloses commission of a cognizable offence, then, the same shall be forthwith registered.

2)If an information received does not disclose a cognizable offence, the 4th respondent shall conduct a preliminary inquiry to ascertain whether cognizable offence is disclosed therein or not and such inquiry shall be completed within a period of fifteen days from the date of information.

3) If the inquiry discloses the commission of a cognizable offence, the FIR must be registered, if not already registered or closed.

4) If the preliminary inquiry ends in closing the complaint, the disclosure report must be recorded along with the reasonings and a copy of the same shall be furnished to the complainant within one week.

5) All information relating to cognizable offences whether resulting in registration of FIR or leading an inquiry must be reflected in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the 4th respondent's police station.

5. In the result, the Criminal Original Petition is allowed with the above directions.

-s/d-
Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

AT

To

1. The Inspector General of Police,
O/o The Inspector General of Police,
Central Zone,
Tiruchirappalli.
2. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o The Superintendent of Police,
Ariyalur District,
Ariyalur.
3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
O/o The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Jayamkondam,
Ariyalur District.


4. The Inspector of Police,
Udayar Palayam Police Station,
Udayar Palayam,
Jayamkondam,
Ariyalur District.

5. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1 CC to Mr.P.Santhaseelan, Advocate Sr.No.73607

Cr1.O.P.No.21920 of 2017

SR(CO)
KP(31.10.2017)



WEB COPY