

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 06.01.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

Criminal Revision Case No.1078 of 2010

S.Navaneetham

... Petitioner

Versus

1.Kalaiselvi

2. C.Babu

... Respondents

Revision Petition filed under Section 397 and 401 of Cr.P.C., against the order dated 13.08.2010 passed in M.P.No.4961 of 2010 in unnumber CC. No. of 2010 on the file of the learned XV Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai dismissed the complaint under Section 203 of Cr.P.C. for an offence under Sections 420, 464 and 471 of I.P.C. and prays for setting aside the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.P.Venkatasubramanian
For Respondent : Mr.C.V.Vijayakumar for R1
Mr.S.Mathivanan for R2

ORDER

Challenging the order passed in M.P.No.4961 of 2010 on the file of XV, Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai dismissing the private complaint filed by the petitioner, the present revision is filed before this Court.

2. The complaint has been filed on the ground that the petitioner is a daughter of one Chinnikrishnan. The second respondent is the mother of the complainant and the third respondent is the brother of the complainant.

3. The first respondent is the purchaser of the property from the second and third respondents. The allegations made in the complaint was that the property was purchased by the petitioner's father Chinnikrishnan and they are also having right over the property and without the knowledge of the complainant, the second and third respondents have sold the property to the first respondent and thereby cheated the complainants. The complainants have already filed a civil suit in O.S.No.6689 of 2008 on the file of XV Asst. Judge, City Civil

Court, Chennai for declaring the power deed dated 25.04.2000 as null and void. Considering the above aspect, the trial Court dismissed the private complaint filed by the complainant to seek the remedy before the Civil forum.

4. The learned counsel appearing for both the parties have stated that the dispute between them has been amicably settled and the civil suit is also dismissed as settled out of Court.

5. Recording the submission, so made by both the learned counsels on record, the Criminal Revision Case is closed.

s/d-

Assistant Registrar(CS VI)


//True Copy//

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To :

1. The XV Metropolitan Magistrate,
George Town, Chennai

+1 CC to M/s. S.S. Mathivanan, Advocate sr 1735



सत्यमेव जयते

Criminal Revision Case
No.1078 of 2010

KJ(CO)
sp/1/2

WEB COPY