

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 31.01.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.V.MURALIDARAN

C.R.P(NPD)No.1123 of 2011
and
M.P.No.1 of 2011

Kuppa Bai

.. Petitioner

Vs

Prakash D.Balaji

.. Respondent

Prayer: Civil Revision Petition filed under Section 115 of Civil Procedure Code, against the order dated 29.10.2010 passed by the VI Assistant City Civil Court, Chennai in I.A.No.17276 of 2010 in O.S.No.6984 of 2010 in an application filed for grant of leave to defend O.S.No.6984 of 2010.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.V.Sudakar

For Respondent : No Appearance

ORDER

It is reported by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the matter was already settled between the parties. Therefore, nothing survives in the Civil Revision Petition. Hence, the prayer in the civil revision petition has become infructuous.

M.V.MURALIDARAN.J.,

VS

2.Recording the said submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as one having become infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

31.01.2017

VS

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No

To

The VI Assistant City Civil Court,
Chennai.

C.R.P(NPD)No.1123 of 2011
and
M.P.No.1 of 2011